

ESFRI v praxi: Strategy Working Groups

Denisa Fedáková, ESFRI SSH SWG

SWGs_six reference scientific domains:

- ENE SWG - ENERGY
 - ENV SWG - ENVIRONMENT
 - H&F SWG – Health & Food
 - PSE SWG – PHYSICAL SCIENCES & ENGINEERING
 - SSH SWG – SOCIAL SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES
 - DIGIT – DATA, COMPUTING AND DIGITAL RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURES
- + IMPLEMENTATION GROUP


SWGs

- Delegáti/tky európskych krajín (SSH – 21 členov a členiek+ tajomník/čka)
- Od 2020 členka SWG SSH; min 4x ročne stretnutia, 1x osobne
- Monitorovať a hodnotiť Projects a Landmarks;
- podieľať sa na tvorbe Roadmap;
- Landscape Analysis


- **Current state of play**

22 Projects | 41 Landmarks


2016 projects



2018 projects



2021 projects



Monitorovanie a evaluácia

- Monitoring 2018 RIs
- Monitoring 2021 RIs
- Evaluation 2016 RIs
- Evaluation of NEW PROPOSALS (13)



Roadmap 2026 (október 2024)




FIGURE 1: LIFECYCLE APPROACH OF A RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE

SWGs posudzuje **SCIENTIFIC CASE** v **šiestich dimenziách:**

- scientific excellence
- pan-European relevance
- socio-economic impact
- user strategy and access policy
- e-needs & data
- environmental considerations

The Implementation Group (IG) hodnotí **IMPLEMENTATION CASE** v šiestich dimenziách:

- stakeholder commitment
 - preparatory work and planning
 - governance, management
 - human resources
 - finances
 - Risks
- Posudzuje sa „vyspelosť“ a udržiateľnosť výskumných infraštruktúr

Dotazník

- Každá hodnotená výskumná infrastruktúra

Table of Contents

PART A: GENERAL INFORMATION	4
SECTION 1: GENERAL DATA	4
SECTION 2: POLITICAL SUPPORT	7
SECTION 3: FINANCIAL COMMITMENT	9
SECTION 4: RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE CONSORTIUM	10
SECTION 5: OTHER RELEVANT INFO.....	11
PART B: SCIENTIFIC CASE	12
SECTION 1: SCIENTIFIC EXCELLENCE	12
SECTION 2: PAN-EUROPEAN RELEVANCE.....	12
SECTION 3: SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT	13
SECTION 4: USER STRATEGY & ACCESS POLICY	13
SECTION 5: E-NEEDS & DATA	14
SECTION 6: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	14
PART C: IMPLEMENTATION CASE	15
SECTION 7: STAKEHOLDER COMMITMENT	15
SECTION 8: PREPARATORY WORK.....	15
SECTION 9: PLANNING.....	16
SECTION 10: GOVERNANCE & MANAGEMENT	16
SECTION 11: HUMAN RESOURCES POLICY	16
SECTION 12: FINANCES	16
SECTION 13: RISKS.....	17

Skóre

- **VERY HIGH** = key requirements are outstandingly met
- **HIGH** = key requirements are comprehensively met
- **MEDIUM** = key requirements are partly met, but the proposal/Project/Landmark shows weaknesses with regard to specific requirements. Enhancing the RI's future success requires (significant) changes to (specific parts of) the proposal/plans.
- **LOW** = key requirements are insufficiently met and the evidence for future success of the RI is not convincing

Ďakujem za pozornosť!

denisa.fedakova@urad.sav.sk